

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0950181 DATE: <u>10/25/07</u> ARRIVE: <u>9:50 AM</u> DEPART: <u>11:20 AM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: TARMAC FLORIDA/BITHLO RMC			
FACILITY LOCATION: SR 50 & SR 419 (BITHLO)			
EAST OF ORLANDO 32802			
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: PHONE: (954)481-2800			
CONTACT NAME: Ray Gregory, Plant Supervisor PHONE: 4075683340			
REMITTANCE YEAR: 2007 ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/25/2003 / 10/25/2008 (effective date) (end date)			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?───────────────────────────────────			
Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	□Yes □ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No

PARTY OPENATIONE CODDIZEDING DECLID	ENERGY D 1 (4 40) () 1 (L) E A C (() 1)	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIRI (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	EMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)	
11 1		
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)		
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plan	nt take reasonable precautions to control unconfined	
emissions by:		
	and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:	
	as, stock piles, and yards? \times Yes \to No	
2) application of water or environmentally safe d	lust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
	Yes □ No	
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and of	other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
	eas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Yes No	
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of		
particulate matter from stock piles?		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes No		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE	ES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.	
A. New or Modified Process Equipment		
Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	□Yes ⊠ No	
b) alterations to existing process equipment witho	out replacement?	
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially		
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did t		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-		
ioon program office.		
Norma Ali/Ilka Bundy	10/25/07	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	10/05/00	
	10/25/08	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	
inspector of 2.5 interest	Approximate 2 and 51 1,5.11 Improvement	
COMMENTS. The VE coheduled for October 17, 2007 at the	ii- fa-ilitu waa maahadulad haaaysa tha anyironmantal anginaar far	
	nis facility was rescheduled because the environmental engineer for	
	e given to Orange County EPD of 10:30 AM. When the Inspector	
arrived at10:15 AM, the environmental engineer was almost d	ione and the inspector dign't have enough time to aligh her readilies	
II	some and the hispector draint have enough time to dualt her readings.	
O O (1) - 25 2007 VEliance tests were norformed on		
	this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector.	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested.	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit.	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit. Yard wet from rain.	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit.	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit. Yard wet from rain. No objectionable odors were noted.	n this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit. Yard wet from rain. No objectionable odors were noted. Inspectors noticed that at the end of the slag pump out the driv	this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out ver blew out the last of the load to clear the hose and a big cloud of	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit. Yard wet from rain. No objectionable odors were noted. Inspectors noticed that at the end of the slag pump out the driv dust was observed. According to the Tarmac's engineer and ta	this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out wer blew out the last of the load to clear the hose and a big cloud of anker driver this is a normal procedure. Norma Ali asked John Kasper	
dust collector. Opacity of 0% was observed on the control equipment tested. Cement = 26.92 tons/45 min * 60 = 35.89 Tons/Hr Slag = 26.55 Tons/55 min * 60 = 28.96 Tons/Hr They are within permit limit. Yard wet from rain. No objectionable odors were noted. Inspectors noticed that at the end of the slag pump out the driv	this date for the Cement and Slag silo's baghouses and truck load out wer blew out the last of the load to clear the hose and a big cloud of anker driver this is a normal procedure. Norma Ali asked John Kasper	